Atty Dkt No. 200-0284 / 81044259 / FMC 1652 PUS

S/N: 09/848,492 Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2005

Remarks

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application, and each was rejected. Each of the

claims was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting

as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,763,298 (Boggs et al.). On

November 26, 2004, Applicants filed a Terminal Disclaimer which was believed to overcome

each of the claim rejections. The March 11, 2005, Office Action indicates that the Terminal

Disclaimer was received and placed in the file; however, the Examiner stated that it did not

comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) and/or (c) because it was signed by an attorney not of

record.

Enclosed with this reply is a Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) establishing

the right of assignee to take action; also enclosed is a Power of Attorney signed by a person

authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. In addition, a new Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed

with this reply, and is signed by an attorney authorized to act on behalf of the assignee by

virtue of the enclosed Power of Attorney.

It is believed that the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer overcomes each of the claim

rejections, and allowance of each of the pending claims is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David Lee Boggs et al.

Reg. No. 50,624

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: <u>April 28, 2005</u>

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-2-